Valdosta-Lowndes Metropolitan Planning Organization

2011 Annual Crash Report

Data from 2007-2009

June 2011



Table of Contents

Introduction	3
The 4 E's of Highway Safety	3
Strategic Highway Safety Plan	4
Crash Data Collection	5
Overview of Crashes 2000-2009	5
Crashes from Peer Communities	9
Pedestrian and bicycle safety	14
High Crash Locations	17
Conclusion	23

The contents in this publication reflect the views of the author(s), who is (are) responsible for the facts and accuracy of the data presented herein. The opinions, findings, and conclusions in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect those of the Department of Transportation, State of Georgia, the Federal Highway Administration, or the Federal Transit Administration. This publication does not constitute a standard, specification or regulation.

This document is prepared in cooperation with the Georgia Department of Transportation, the Federal Highway Administration and Federal Transit Administration.

VLMPO fully complies with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and related statutes and regulations in all programs and activities. VLMPO's website (www.sgrc.us/transportation) may be translated into multiple languages. Publications and other public documents can be made available in alternative languages or formats, if requested.

Introduction

In 2007, the Valdosta-Lowndes Metropolitan Planning Organization (VLMPO) produced its first Crash Report. This report was used by the MPO and local jurisdictions to evaluate safety needs and to support project development in the Long Range Transportation Plan and Transportation Improvement Program.

Subsequent Crash Reports have continued the ongoing study of crash data and safety planning in Lowndes County, Georgia. This latest report includes data from the years 2007-2009, and it will continue to use the Georgia Governor's Office of Highway Safety Strategic Highway Safety Plan as a model.

This Vehicle Crash Report is the follow-up and continuation of an ongoing study of crash data and safety planning in Greater Lowndes County, Georgia. As noted previously, this report includes three years of data (2007, 2008 and 2009). By including multiple years of data any abnormalities in data can be averaged out over time to reflect a more accurate picture of the overall crash frequency, severity and location (among other data) in Lowndes County. This report will continue to be used to inform local public agencies of crash related data in the community, and to identify causes of crashes and even possible safety improvements, law enforcement, or education improvements.

Initially the overall concept and content of this report was compiled through a meeting of local law enforcement officials, school officials, Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT) traffic operations engineers, local engineering departments and others.

This report will examine various characteristics of crash data to determine the increase or decrease in overall crashes, crash frequency, crash locations, contributing factors, etc. In the end we will identify the twenty highest frequency crash locations in the Valdosta Urbanized Area and in rural Lowndes County.

This report will be used by the VLMPO and local jurisdictions to evaluate projects in the 2035 Transportation Plan and annual Transportation Improvement Program updates. It will help to identify future safety related infrastructure projects, and make data available to the MPO and local jurisdictions which will allow analysis of the most beneficial projects and actions based on past crashes at specific locations. Local jurisdictions, agencies and other groups can also use this report to target education and enforcement efforts so as to help reduce crashes of all types on the roadways of Lowndes County.

The 4 E's of Highway Safety

Crash prevention and response is not the duty of just one agency, but rather many different agencies with many different priorities and responsibilities each must respond accordingly to crash reduction efforts in their own areas of expertise. The 4 E's of Highway Safety are where the many different responsible agencies come together to each do their own part in reducing crash frequency and severity. The 4 E's of Highway Safety are Education, Engineering, Enforcement and Emergency Medical Services.¹

Education includes working with young and old alike to educate drivers, pedestrians, bike riders, and passengers of the rules of the road and other important safety factors. The Education E includes: diversion programs for underage drinking, general public education campaigns, safety belt and child seat inspections, and expanded and improved driver training courses and materials.

¹ Source: Nebraska Highway Safety Plan Critical Strategies, Nebraska Department of Roads

Engineering includes working with local and state public works, and highway and transportation departments to improve the physical characteristics of the roadway and right-of-way. The Engineering E includes: improving horizontal and vertical curvature of roadways, enhanced signage and roadwav markings, access management, improved intersection sight distances, and overall intersection and roadway design. The implementation of Intelligent Transportation Systems that help motorists move through the urban area while making safer and better informed decisions. This includes the synchronizing of signal timings, which Valdosta is a leader in.

Enforcement includes working with law enforcement agencies to educate drivers to prevent crashes as well as efficient response and analysis of crash sites. The Enforcement E includes: employing checkpoints for DUI or seatbelt usage, enforcement of laws for underage and excessive drinking, targeted speed and intersection use enforcement, and proper data collection for future analysis.

Emergency Medical Services includes all first responders to crash sites and the medical treatment victims receive immediately after a crash. The Emergency Medical Services (EMS) E includes: efficient response by medical personal to crash site, rapid evacuation of victims to trauma centers, and education of the public on proper usage of safety restraints.

Each of the 4 E's is not mutually exclusive to the various agencies described above: an example is that education is spread out between all of the different agency partners, like law enforcement agencies, highway departments, and EMS responders. Also, engineers may get ideas from suggestions from law enforcement agencies or schools about concerns with children walking to school. Each of the various agencies has their own role to play, as well as an interconnected role with others to reduce crash frequency and severity on our roadways.

Strategic Highway Safety Plan

The 2010 Georgia Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) documents the comprehensive process by which multidisciplinary professionals join Georgia highway safety partners to leverage existing resources. The professional input from the four safety E's of engineering, education, enforcement, and emergency medical services produces new partnerships. New highway safety partnerships create new opportunities in combining and creating strategies to reduce highway crashes, injuries and fatalities. Georgia's aspiration is to reduce to zero the number of highway fatalities and injuries. The vision establishes that even one highway death is unacceptable.²

The SHSP was based on the latest statistics available for highway safety problem solving. The document contains Education and Enforcement countermeasures for reducing crashes, injuries and fatalities on Georgia roads. It also documents strategic, comprehensive, and collaborative efforts with the Engineering and Emergency Medical Services components to improve roadway safety in the State. This "4-E" approach will result in a balanced and effective strategy to saving lives on Georgia's roadways.

Tragically, 1,493 people died on Georgia roadways during 2008 according to the National Center for Statistics and Analysis. Georgia will work to analyze the casual factors of these deaths to help mitigate their causes. As more current data becomes available, the Governor's Office of Highway Safety (GOHS) will use the data to refine its HSP. Utilizing the latest data

² Source: 2007 Georgia Strategic Highway Safety Plan

available, GOHS plans to develop, promote, implement and evaluate projects that are designed to address the major highway safety factors that contributing to injuries and fatalities³ The goals of the 2010 SHSP are as follows:



- Increase the rate of observed safety belt use from baseline 89.6% in 2008 to 91% by the end of FFY 2010 for drivers and front seat passengers.
- Reduce the alcohol related fatality rate (BAC = .08+) from estimated 2008 baseline of 0.38 fatalities (416) per 100 million VMT to 0.37 (404) per 100 million VMT (based on 110,290 million VMT).
- Reduce the percentage of speed related fatal crashes from baseline 21% in 2008 to 19% by the end of FFY 2010.
- Reduce the percentage of pedestrian related fatal crashes from baseline 9.8% (146) in 2008 to 9.7% by end of FFY 2010.

• Continue implementation of the Strategic Highway Safety Plan with all roadway safety stakeholders in Georgia.

This Crash Report will highlight the data for these goals in Lowndes County to show how the goals are being addressed on the local level as well as other local efforts to reduce fatal crashes through the various emphasis areas in the SHSP.

Crash Data Collection

When a crash occurs in Georgia the applicable law enforcement agency completes a Uniform Motor Vehicle Crash Report (an example report is included in the appendix). Once completed, these reports are sent to the Georgia Department of Transportation Office of Traffic Safety and Design. The data is compiled by this GDOT Office and the University Of Alabama College Of Engineering into the Critical Analysis Reporting Environment (CARE) software. The CARE software is designed to allow planners and other professionals a means by which crash data can be sorted, cross tabulated, and allows multi-parameter searches which can be utilized in graphs and or tables displaying the results of localized crash data in a timely fashion. The CARE 9 version of the software was used for data analysis in this report. The

data in this report includes crash record data from the years 2007, 2008 and 2009.

Overview of Crashes 2000-2009

Overall in Lowndes County in the last ten years crashes have decreased slightly, by about 5.46%. In Georgia crashes have decreased as well, but by a much larger amount, 13.11% in the last ten years (see Chart 1). In the same time period Lowndes County has seen a 15.54% increase in population while Georgia has seen a 19.88% increase in population.

Following are maps that depict the change in the number of crashes from the 2000-2002 reporting to the 2007-2009 reporting period. The maps show where the number of crashes have increased or decreased over time. The data presented in this map is not surprising given the crashes have followed the general pattern of development in the City of Valdosta and Lowndes County. For example, there are an increasing number of crashes near the intersection of St. Augustine Road and Norman Drive in Valdosta. In this area is a large regional shopping center that has experienced considerable growth over the past decade.

³ Source: 2009 Georgia Highway Safety Plan, Governor's Office of Highway Safety

Comparison of Peer Communities 2007-2009										
	Total Crashes	Fatal	Fatal Rate	% Crashes Urban	Bike/Ped	2009 Population				
Dougherty	9,765	30	0.31%	92.58%	56	95,859				
Floyd	10,608	48	0.45%	79.64%	32	96,250				
Whitfield	8,580	58	0.68%	*	22	93,698				
Newton	7,704	41	0.53%	*	8	99,944				
Lowndes	9,865	44	0.45%	74.02%	70	106,814				
*In Whitfie	ld and Newton	Counties	over 70% of	the data did not h	ave an urb	oan/rural				

identification









Comparison of Vehicle Crashes - Lowndes Co., GA Increase or decrease in crashes between 2000-2002 and 2007-2009

Crashes from Peer Communities

To better understand if the crash rates in Lowndes County are high, low or the same as other communities this report looks at several peer communities from throughout Georgia.



Comparisons were made between the Counties of Doughtery, Floyd, Newton and Whitfield. Each of these counties is similar in population size to Lowndes County or the Valdosta Urbanized Area.

In these communities fatal crashes are all less than 1% of the total crashes; however it varies widely from 0.31% in Doughtery County to 0.68% in Whitfield County. As was reported in the 2010 Crash Report, most crashes in Lowndes County are within the urban area. The map in Figure 1 demonstrates the density of crashes at various locations in the County, especially in the urban areas of Valdosta.

Of the over 9,800 crashes for which the data is available, 35% of the drivers are between the ages of 16 and 21. This age group was involved in 1.53 more crashes than the same age group in the peer communities (see Chart 2). As Lowndes County is a center of higher education one would expect this larger population to have more crashes. However, the 16-18 year old group (who are generally still in high school) had 12% more crashes than the average of the peer communities. In the younger age groups education is key to informing people about the responsibilities of driving defensively and without distraction. The local community should take a more proactive role in educating young drivers in both the high school and college setting.

Unfortunately alcohol or other drugs are involved in many crashes often with fatal consequences. When comparing Lowndes County to the peer communities, drivers here are 88% more likely to be involved in an alcohol related crash. As noted previously, there is a large college-age population in Lowndes County and one might be inclined to think that this age group contributes to this high presence of alcohol related crashes. However the exact opposite is nearly the case. In crashes involving alcohol the driver of the causal vehicle was above the age of 25 in 68% of the crashes. While there are many factors that may contribute to this data it is important to know that education of all drivers is important. The local community should be more proactive in educating and reminding all drivers (not just younger drivers) of the dangers of driving while under the influence of alcohol or other substances.











Pedestrian and bicycle safety

SHSP Goal: The performance goal is to reduce the percentage of pedestrian related fatal crashes from 9% to 7%.

Bike and pedestrian crashes in Lowndes County account for 0.7% of all crashes, much less than the state average, and only one fatality occurred during the study period. However, as Lowndes County continues to grow these types of crashes are only going to increase. The high student population associated with Valdosta State University and other schools are the primary areas of concern when looking to prevent these types of crashes.

Locally, walking and biking are increasing as modes of transport and recreation for not only students, but other populations as well. The City of Valdosta has worked to designate bike lanes on roadways and to improve the access to sidewalks throughout the neighborhoods of the city.

During the course of this study further data was requested on pedestrian and bicycle crashes. During the study period there were a total of 70 pedestrian or bicycle crashes of which 53 crashes involved an injury or death. Crashes involving pedestrians and bicyclists were highest from 2 p.m. to 6 p.m. (see Chart 6), when 46% of these types of crashes occurred. This is not surprising considering this is an active time period for most individuals. However nine crashes occurred during the nighttime hours from 9 p.m. to 6 a.m. when it is generally dark and it may be harder to see bicyclists and pedestrians.

Of the 70 crashes involving a pedestrian or bicyclist, 73% of the time the pedestrian or bicyclist was at fault in the crash. This indicates a need for more education at an early age regarding safe road crossing and bicycling, as well as the need for additional signage, pedestrian signals, crosswalks, etc. This also indicates that law enforcement agencies should enforce the pedestrian and bicyclist laws just as they do for motorists.





High Crash Locations

While the previous sections have primarily focused on fatal crashes, their impacts, causes and how they relate to the overall goals of the Georgia Governor's Office of Highway Safety Strategic Highway Safety Plan, the following section will look at the highest crash locations in the Urban and Rural areas of Lowndes County.

The Top 20 crash locations in the urban area were determined through the CARE 9 software program. The software returned the 20 locations with the most crashes during the three year study period. A secondary ranking is also present in the crash rate; and it is used to split ties between the numbers of raw crashes. The crash rate is the number of crashes per 1,000,000 vehicles entering the intersection. Included in this listing are only crashes at intersections; crashes at mid-block locations are not included at this time.

The City of Valdosta produces an annual crash report examining trends in crashes throughout the City. The City's crash report and this report produced by the MPO are different in several ways. However, many of the Top 20 crash locations are the same. One of the biggest differences is that the City crash report includes data from two-vehicle crashes only. The MPO crash report includes all crashes at each location. Because of the concentration of population and the attraction of Valdosta as a regional economic hub and traffic volume in and around Valdosta, all of the Top 20 crash locations in the urban area in Lowndes County are within the Valdosta City Limits. On the following pages are the Top 20 crash locations in the urban and rural areas of Lowndes County for the 2007-2009 study period.

For the crashes in the urban area the primary contributing factor in <u>all</u> of the crashes was that drivers were following too closely. This resulted in most crashes being rear-end collisions or angle crashes. One of the only ways to solve this problem is through better driver education and training programs.

In the rural areas of Lowndes County the primary contributing factors are numerous and include: mechanical failure, disregard of signs, following too closely, improper backing, changing lanes improperly, driving on the wrong side of the road, animals or other objects, weather, speed and lack of driver control. The primary contributing factor to crashes in the rural areas was the failure to yield the right-of-way. It should be noted that driving under the influence of alcohol and drugs was also a significant factor in crashes in rural Lowndes County.

Also included is a table containing planned future improvements to the Top 20 crash locations that may reduce crashes in the future. Included in this listing are the type of improvement, the plan that contains the planned improvement and the time frame for implementation.

Rank	Inte	ersection	Total Crashes	Fatal	Injury	PDO	Crash Rate	Annual Avg.	Last year
1	Ashley Street	Bemiss Road/Park Avenue	154	0	38	116	12.89	51.33	17
2	Five Points		116	0	21	95	9.34	38.67	19
3	St. Augustine Road	Norman Drive	105	0	23	82	9.35	35.00	1
4	North Valdosta Road	Country Club Drive	101	0	25	76	8.99	33.67	6
5	St. Augustine Road	Gornto Road	76	0	13	63	6.76	25.33	4
6	Inner Perimeter Road	Oak Street Extension	75	0	14	61	6.68	25.00	9
6	Hill Avenue	St. Augustine Road	75	0	23	52	6.68	25.00	3
8	Northside Drive	Bemiss Road	72	0	20	52	6.41	24.00	NR
9	Ashley Street	Central Avenue	70	0	12	58	6.23	23.33	NR
10	Gornto Road	Jerry Jones Drive	65	0	10	55	5.79	21.67	NR
11	Inner Perimeter Road	Park Avenue	60	0	14	46	5.34	20.00	14t
12	Ashley Street	Northside Drive	59	0	17	42	5.25	19.67	10t
13	Inner Perimeter Road	Forrest Street	54	0	12	42	4.81	18.00	12t
14	Patterson Street	Eager Drive	46	0	9	37	4.09	15.33	8
15	Hill Avenue	Norman Drive	44	0	11	33	3.92	14.67	5
15	Norman Drive	Baytree Road	44	0	6	38	3.92	14.67	NR
17	Hill Avenue	Troup Street	41	0	11	30	3.65	13.67	10t
18	Gornto Road	Baytree Road	39	0	6	33	3.47	13.00	NR
19	Oak Street Extension	Murray Road	38	0	8	30	3.38	12.67	NR
20	Oak Street	Eager Road	37	0	4	33	3.29	12.33	NR
20	North Valdosta Road	Country Club Road	37	0	13	24	3.29	12.33	NR
20	Jerry Jones Drive	Country Club Drive	37	0	7	30	3.29	12.33	NR

Urban Crashes in Lowndes County for 2007-2009 – High Crash Locations



Rank	Inter	section	Total Crashes	Fatal	Injury	PDO	Crash Rate	Annual Avg.	Last year
1	SR 122	Sheriff's Boys Ranch Road	18	0	11	7	10.01	6.00	NR
2	SR 376	Loch Laural Road	13	0	1	12	9.05	4.33	NR
2	Marion Ave.	East Street	13	0	5	8	3.54	4.33	NR
4	SR 31/Madison Highway	SR 376	9	0	4	5	5.28	3.00	NR
5	Main Street	US 41 North	8	0	0	8	5.06	2.67	NR
5	Lakes Boulevard	Mill Store Road	8	0	0	8	4.21	2.67	NR
5	SR 122	Val Del Road	8	0	5	3	1.41	2.67	NR
6	SR 94	Howell Drive	7	0	3	4	4.71	2.33	NR
6	US 84	Rocky Ford Road	7	0	2	5	1.60	2.33	NR
6	Main Street	Nelson Street	7	0	0	7	0.77	2.33	NR
11	US 41	Park Drive	6	0	2	4	1.60	2.00	NR
11	US 41	Melrose Road	6	0	3	3	1.60	2.00	NR
13	Webb Street	Main Street	5	0	0	5	1.89	1.67	NR
13	SR 31/Madison Highway	Whitewater Road	5	1	2	2	1.07	1.67	NR
13	SR 376	Timber Drive	5	0	3	2	0.77	1.67	NR
16	Frontage Road	Loch Laural Road	4	0	1	3	3.19	1.33	NR
16	US 41	Old 41 (Dasher)	4	0	2	2	2.24	1.33	NR
16	SR 122	Morven Road	4	0	0	4	1.80	1.33	NR
16	SR 122	Skipper Bridge Road	4	0	3	1	1.29	1.33	NR
20	US 41	Union Road	3	0	1	2	1.22	1.00	NR

Rural Crashes in Lowndes County 2007-2009 – High Crash Locations



Planned Improvements from 2035 Transportation Plan and Valdosta Transportation Master Plan

	section	Improvement	Plan	Timeline	
		•			
Ashley Street	Bemiss Road/Park Avenue	Intersection Improvement	Valdosta TMP	Short	
Five Points		Intersection Improvement	2035 TP, Valdosta TMP	2016-2020	
St. Augustine Road	Norman Drive	Intersection Improvement	2035 TP, Valdosta TMP	2010-2015	
North Valdosta Road	Country Club Drive	None Planned			
St. Augustine Road	Gornto Road	Intersection Improvement	2035 TP, Valdosta TMP	2010-2015	
Inner Perimeter Road	Oak Street Extension	Widening	2035 TP, Valdosta TMP	2016-2020	
Hill Avenue	St. Augustine Road	Intersection Improvement	2035 TP, Valdosta TMP	2016-2020	
Northside Drive	Bemiss Road	None Planned			
Ashley Street	Central Avenue	None Planned			
Gornto Road	Jerry Jones Drive	Widening	2035 TP, Valdosta TMP	2010-2015	
Inner Perimeter Road	Park Avenue	None Planned			
Ashley Street	Northside Drive	None Planned			
Inner Perimeter Road	Forrest Street	Widening	2035 TP, Valdosta TMP	2016-2020	
Patterson Street	Eager Drive	None Planned			
Hill Avenue	Norman Drive	Gateway Improvement	Valdosta TMP	Short	
Norman Drive	Baytree Road	Widening	Valdosta TMP	Long	
Hill Avenue	Troup Street	None Planned			
Gornto Road	Baytree Road	Widening	Valdosta TMP	Long	
Oak Street Extension	Murray Road	Widening	2035 TP, Valdosta TMP	2016-2020	
Oak Street	Eager Road	None Planned			
North Valdosta Road	Country Club Road	None Planned			
Jerry Jones Drive	Country Club Drive	Widening	2035 TP, Valdosta TMP	2010-2015	
SR 122	Sheriff's Boys Ranch Road	None Planned			
SR 376	Loch Laural Road	None Planned			
Lakes Boulevard	East Street	None Planned			
SR 31/Madison Highway	SR 376	None Planned			
Main Street	US 41 North	None Planned			
Lakes Boulevard	Mill Store Road	None Planned			
SR 122	Val Del Road	None Planned			
SR 94	Howell Drive	None Planned			
US 84	Rocky Ford Road	None Planned			
Main Street	Nelson Street	None Planned			
US 41	Park Drive	None Planned			
US 41	Melrose Drive	None Planned			
Webb Street	Main Street	None Planned			
SR 31/Madison Highway	Whitewater Road	Intersection Improvement	2035 TP	2010-2015	
SR 376	Timber Drive	None Planned			
Frontage Road	Loch Laural Road	None Planned			
US 41	Old 41	None Planned			
SR 122	Morven Road	None Planned			
SR 122 SR 122	Skipper Bridge Road	None Planned			
US 41	Union Road	Widening	2035 TP	2021-2025	

Conclusion

This report is intended to provide information to local elected officials, law enforcement, local planners and engineers as well as the public about crashes in Lowndes County. This report has been modeled after the Georgia Governor's Office of Highway Safety Strategic Highway Safety Plan to address the same issues and points as that report.

This report is intended to be used by partner agencies and officials to better address the 4 E's of highway safety: education, engineering, enforcement, and emergency medical response. Agencies can use this report and the data contained herein to better address crash locations, driver behavior, and crash response throughout the community.

This report will be shared with local elected officials, law enforcement officials, emergency response officials, local engineers, and other groups to better inform the public about crashes in Lowndes County.

In the future, the locations identified as part of the Top 20 crash locations should be reviewed by local agencies through an analysis that addresses the primary manners of collision and contributing factors at these intersections. The use of Road Safety Audits (technical review of intersections and road segments to help identify possible crash mitigation techniques) should be championed by the MPO and local governments to encourage and improve the usefulness of this report and the data collected by the partner agencies.

Local agencies should be encouraged to use this report, as well as seeking out other data available from the MPO or other agencies to help do their part in reducing vehicle crashes in Lowndes County.

This report identifies various ways in which the population of Lowndes County can be better educated to not drink and drive, to not follow too closely and to in general be safer drivers.

This report will continue to be updated annually. The next report will cover the years 2008-2010.

Georgia Department of Transportation

Version 2.0

Page ___ of ___

Accident Number	1	Age	ncy NCIC No. 2	м			UNIFORM	PORT		County 3				Date Re	c. by DOT 4
Date 5		Day of We	ek <mark>6</mark>] 🗌 🗆 1 F S	Time 7			Arrived 8	Vehi		tal Numb Injuries		9 atalities	Inside Ci	ty Of:	10
Road of						At Its					-				ed Report?
Occurrence	loretato 2	11	t. Rt. 3 🗌 Co. R	ad 4	City St	Intersection	on With		2	C+ D+		o. Road 4	City et	Yes 🗖	16
Not At Its			1 North 3				14	1 1 1	LOWGA	J. A. H.	100	, Kuau 4	l ony or		To Original?
Intersection But_	13		2 🛛 South 4			🗆 Interstat	e 2 🗆 Lowe	st St. F	t. 3 🗖	Co. Road	4 🗆	city St. 5 🗖	Co. Line	Yes Hit and	Run 2
Indexeloute to the discussion of the							Yes 🗆								
And continuing in the direction checked above, 15 the Next Reference Point is 1 Interstate 2 Lowest St. Rt. 3 Co. Road 4 City St. 5 Co. Line								_							
Driver # 191	AST NAME		FIRST		N	IDDLE	Driver #	LAST	NAME	ĺ.		FIRST			MIDDLE
18 Add	iress 20							Addr	ess						
Ped #							Ped # 🗖								
City		State	Zip		DOB	21	City				Stat	e	Zip	D	ов
22 Driver's Licen	se No.	23 C	lass 24 Sta	te 25	Male 🗌	Female	Driver's Lic	ense N	0.		Cla	ss State		🗆 Mal	e 🗖 Female
Posted Speed 26	Insurance	Co. 2	27 Po	licy No.	28		Posted Speed		Insura	nce Co.			Policy	No.	
Year Ma		Model	Telepho				Year	Mak	e	M	odel		Telephone	No.	
29 30 VIN 33		31		2 le Color	34		VIN						Vehicle C	olor	
Tag #	State	c	ounty		Year		Tag #		Sta	ite		County		Year	
35 Trailer Tag #	State	c	ounty		Year		Trailer Tag	#	Sta	ite		County		Year	
36 37 🗆 Same as Dr	iver O	morie Lae	Mamo	First		Middle	Same as	Driver	0	vner's La	st Nar	18	First		Middle
Address															
City	Stat	9		Zip			City			State			Zip		
38 Removed By	38 Removed By 39 ☐ Request 40 ☐List					List	Removed B	by .				0	Request		ist
Alcohol Test	Туре	Results	Drug Test 43	Ţ.	ype	Results 44	Alcohol Tes	st	Туре	Res	ults	Drug 1	'est	Туре	Results
Driver Cond 45	Direction 0	of Travel	Vision 47 Obscured	Con	tributing I 51	Factors	Driver Con	d	Directi	on Of Tra	vel	Vision Obscured		Contribu	ting Factors
48 Veh Cond	49 Veh Ma	neuver	Ped. Maneuver	1=		_	Veh Cond		Veh M	aneuver		Ped. Maneu	iver	_	
Most Harmful Eve	ent 172	Veh Cla	ISS: 53	Veh	Type: 🗲	4	Most Harm	ful Ever	nt	Veł	Class			eh Type:	
Traffic Ctrl 55			ce inoperative?		□ No		Traffic Ctrl			Dev	rice In	operative?	Yes 🗆		
Injured Taken To:	5					BV:									
58 EMS Notified 1		S Arrival T	ïme	Hospital	Arrival Ti	me	59 Photo	s Taker	n: □Ye	es 🗆 No		B	y:		
60 Report By:		Dep	artment	Repo	rt Date		61 Checked	i By:				0	ate Checke	d	
62 Witness(es): N	lame			Ade	dress					City	St	ate	Zip Code	Т	elephone No.
63 DOT MICRO				0 004											
US DOT WICKU				IS SPAC		MERCIAL	VEHICLES	ONLY	_				_		
Carrier Name 64					0.011		Carrier Na	ame							
Vehicle # 65							Vehicle #								
66 Address		State	,	Zip)		Address			5	State		Zip		
No. of Axles 67	G.V.W	.R.	69 Fed. Reporta 1 Yes 2		Cargo B 70	Body Type	No. of A	xles		G.V.W.R		Fed. Ro 1 I Yes	eportable 2 🗖 No		BodyType
Vehicle Config.	I.C.C.M.	C.#	U.S. D.O.T. #			te 🗌 74	Vehicle C	onfig.		.C.C.M.C	.#	U.S	. D.O.T. #	Inters	tate □ tate □
		76 C. D.I	Suspended? 1	Yes			C.D.L.	? 1 🗖	Yes 2	No	C.D.	L. Suspende	d? 1 🗌 Ye	2 🗆 No	
77 Vehicle Placar	ded? 1 🗌 Ye	s 2 🗆 No 1				No	Vehicle P	lacarde	d? 1	Yes 2	No I	lazardous M	aterials? 1	Yes 2	No
79 Released?			_					me or -	4 Digit M	lumber fr	om Di	amond or Bo	x:		
If YES, Name or 4			mond or Box: n of Diamond:	80	_		Rap Of					m of Diamon Cargo Los		Separatio	on of Units
			Cargo Loss or S	hift S	eparation	of Units	- "	rivitu			y			openation	

Georgia Department of Transportation

Version 2.0

Back of the Accident Report Form

The back of the report contains items for all vehicles. This is where the reporting officer records remarks, draws required diagrams, and records what may have contributed to the accident.

													83	PAGE	· (OF	-
REMARKS 82																	
INDICATE ON THIS DIAGRAM WHAT HAPPENED INDICATE 84																	
CITATIONS - VEHICLE #_		85					CITATION	IS – VEHIO	CLE	#		_					
First Harmful Traffic-Way Event Flow 86 87	Weat 88		Surface Co 89	nd.		t Cond. 90	Manner Of Collision	Location A	1		Comp.	Road		oad	, Constructio	nMaintenance	
							91	Area Of Imp 92		93		94	c	95A	956	3	Zone
96 VE	EH #	_	VEH#				91 100 SKID	92		93		94	c		95		Zone
96 VE 97 Number of Occupants		_	VEH#				91	92	ÆH.	93	AFT	94	C				Zone
			VEH#				91 100 SKID DISTANCE	92		93		94 ER	c		95E Width of		Zone
97 Number of Occupants		_	VEH#				91 100 SKID DISTANCE	92		93		94 ER	c		95		Zone
97 Number of Occupants 98 Point of Initial Contact			VEH#				91 100 SKID DISTANCE	92	ен.	93 SEX		FR VEH. VEH.	TAKEN FOR TREAT		95E Width of		AIR BAG
97 Number of Occupants 98 Point of Initial Contact 99 Damage To Vehicles Damage Other		2000					91 100 SKID DISTANCE	92	ΈH.		AFT	FR VEH. VEH.	TAKEN	95A	95E Width of 	Road	
97 Number of Occupants 98 Point of Initial Contact 99 Damage To Vehicles Damage Other	t		ner:				91 100 SKID DISTANCE	92	ен.		AFT	FR VEH. VEH.	TAKEN	95A	95E Width of 	Road	
97 Number of Occupants 98 Point of Initial Contact 99 Damage To Vehicles Damage Other Than Vehicle: 102	t Driver #		ner: Or Pedest Or Pedest				91 100 SKID DISTANCE	92	ÆH. A G E	× m s	AFT	94 ER VEH. VEH.	TAKEN	95A	95E Width of 	Road	
97 Number of Occupants 98 Point of Initial Contact 99 Damage To Vehicles Damage Other Than Vehicle: 102 Occupants 103	t Driver # Driver #		ner: Or Pedest Or Pedest	rian #		BEF	91 100 SKID DISTANCE DRE IMPACT	92	ÆH. A G E	× m s	AFT	94 ER VEH. VEH.	TAKEN FOR TREAT	DSA EJEOT	951 Width of 101	EXTRIC	AIR BAG
97 Number of Occupants 98 Point of Initial Contact 99 Damage To Vehicles Damage Other Than Vehicle: 102 Occupants 103	t Driver # Driver #		ner: Or Pedest Or Pedest	rian #		BEF	91 100 SKID DISTANCE DRE IMPACT	92	ÆH. A G E	× m s	AFT	94 ER VEH. VEH.	TAKEN FOR TREAT	DSA EJEOT	951 Width of 101	EXTRIC	AIR BAG
97 Number of Occupants 98 Point of Initial Contact 99 Damage To Vehicles Damage Other Than Vehicle: 102 Occupants 103	t Driver # Driver #		ner: Or Pedest Or Pedest	rian #		BEF	91 100 SKID DISTANCE DRE IMPACT	92	ÆH. A G E	× m s	AFT	94 ER VEH. VEH.	TAKEN FOR TREAT	DSA EJEOT	951 Width of 101	EXTRIC	AIR BAG
97 Number of Occupants 98 Point of Initial Contact 99 Damage To Vehicles Damage Other Than Vehicle: 102 Occupants 103	t Driver # Driver #		ner: Or Pedest Or Pedest	rian #		BEF	91 100 SKID DISTANCE DRE IMPACT	92	ÆH. A G E	× m s	AFT	94 ER VEH. VEH.	TAKEN FOR TREAT	DSA EJEOT	951 Width of 101	EXTRIC	AIR BAG

MAIL TO: Georgia Department of Transportation, ACCIDENT REPORTING UNIT, P.O. BOX 80447, CONYERS, GA 30013-8447

Georgia Department of Transportation

Version 2.0

Codes and
conditions
used for
completing
the 'front' of
the Accident
Report.

ALCOHOL AND/OR DRUG TEST GI	EN PEDE STRIAN MANEUVER	CONTRIBUTING FACTORS	VEHICLE TYPE			
DRIVER CONDITION 1 - Not Drinking 5 - VJ. Drugs	Consequent as 5 - Other Intering in Acade Constant 7 - Name Reserver Constant 7	1 - No Contributing Factors 2 - D.UJ 3 - Following Two Close 4 - Folle-day Two I 5 - Enceeding Speed Limit 8 - Dimag and Stop Signal 7 - Winning Side Of Raad	1-Potograph Car 12 - Venden Bith Indee 2-Potogr Tuck (1)-Bata 3-Insk Tracksr (bibhil) 4-Tracksr (bibhil) 4-Tracksr (Nimer Stracksr Revealshood Vender 4-Tracksr (Nimer Stracksr Revealshood Vender 4-Topping Tracks 7-Logging Tracksr (1) 4-Stracksr (Nimer Stracksr Revealshood Vender 4-Dapaing Tracksr (1) 3-Stage Weith Your Stracksr (1) 4-Dapaing Tracksr (1) 5-Dapaing Tracksr (1) 5-Dap			
2 - Not Known # UI 6 - UJ. Alcohol # 3 - Drinking Nat Impaired 7 - Physical Imp 4 - UJ. Alcohol 8 - Apparently F DIRECTION OF TRAVEL	innert FIRST HARMFUL EVENTMOST HARMFUL EVENT	Instructions Improper Passing Instruct Control Oniver Lost Control Change & Lance Improperty	S - Panel Truck 20 - Farm or Construction. Equip. 10 - Yan 21 - All Terrain Vehicle 11 - Utility Postenger Vietlicite. 22 - Other 23 - Gar cont			
	Mest 2 - Fire-Explosion 5 - Other Non-Collision 3 - Instruction	12 - Object Or Animal 13 - Improper Turn	TRAFFIC CONTROL			
VISION OBSCURED BY 1 - Not Obscured 5 - Trees, Bush 2 - Headlight: 6 - Rain, Sinow, 3 - Sunilat Win ethield	COLLISION WITH OBJECT NOT FIXED	14Parkol Ingroperty 15Mechanical Critektide Failure 16Surface Defects 17Mitjudged Clearance 13Ingropus Daciling 13Instignativenese Stand	0 - Gales 5 - Stap Or Tield Sign 1 - No Control Present 6 - No Passing Zone 2 - Indite: Signal 7 - Lanes 3 - RK Signal Align 8 - Other 4 - Warning Sign 9 - Flatshing Lights			
4 - Parked Vehide 7 - Other	9- Animal 13- Other Object (Not Fored) 10- Padead Mater Vehicle 14- Dater	20 - Driver Condition 21 - Driver's Vehicle	CARGO BODY TYPE			
VEHICLE CONDITION 1 - No Known Defects 5 - Steering Fail 2 - Tre Failure 6 - Stek Tiest 3 - Brake Failure 7 - Other 4 - Improper Lights		22 - Too Fast For Conditions 23 - Improver Passing Of School Bus 24 - Dans gard Palac Other 25 - Districted d 26 - Other	1 - Van (Ehcl. Box) 4 - Dump 7 - Cargo Tasleer 2 - Julo Carrier 5 - Garlage Refuse 0 - Concerte Moer 3 - Bun 6 - Robel 9 - Other would c notice notice			
VEHICLE MANEUVER	18 - Bridge Rall 28 - Curb	27 - Cell Phone 28 - Inattenthe	VEHICLE CONFIGURATION 1 - Bus (Seating for More Than 15 Passengers)			
1. Turning Left 8- Parkel 2. Turning Sight 8- Parkel 2. Turning Sight 9- Parkel 3. Making U-barn 4. Stopped 10. Negotating 4. Stopped 11. Entering.Le 7. Backling Driveway	dng 22 - Highwey Traffic Sign 32 - Mailbox Post 33 - Tree	VEHCLE CLASS 1 - Pinabely Owined 6 - Military 2 - Parker 7 - Carmerralal Vehicle (for 3 - Fine Acc. Rep ading Purposet 4 - School Only) 5 - Oherr Qoul, Owned 8 - Oherr	2 - Single Unit Truck - 2 Adre 3 - Graje Unit Truck - 3 on More Adres 4 - Truck Trader 5 - Truck Truck - Truck - Truck - Truck - Truck - Truck 6 - Truck - Truck - Truck - 1 - Truck -			

Codes and conditions used for completing the Tack	1 - Two-wey Trafficwey With No Phys 2 - Two-wey Trafficwey With a Physic 3 - Two-wey Trafficwey	Hith No Physical Separation The way Traditionary With a Physical Separation The way Traditionary With a Physical Separation The way Traditionary The way Traditionary			SEX M - Male F - Female TAKENFOR TREATMENT 1 - Yes 2 - No 24 CODE 3 - Vinible			
	5 - Continuous Turning Lare WEATHER		2 - Black Top 5 - Gravel 3 - Tar And Gravel 6 - Other	0 - Not injured 1 - Killed 2 - Serious	4- Complaint	8 7 0		
	1 - Clear 2 - Cloudy 3 - Rain	5 - Sleet 6 - Fog 7 - Other	CONTRIBUTING ROAD DEFECTS 1- No Defects	8 - None 1 - Construction	tenance Zone Codes 2 - Maintenance 3 - Utility nown Type	SEATING POSITION POINTS OF NITIAL CONTACT		
		CONDITION 5 - Other 8 - Mid	2 - Detective Shoulders 3 - Holes, Deep Ratt, Bumps 4 - Loose Material On Surface 5 - Water Standing 6 - Road Under Construction	EJEC 1 - Not Ejected 2 - Trapped	CTION 3 - Totelly Ejected 4 - Pantally Ejected	00 - Overhumed 13 - Top 14 - Undercarriage		
of the Accident	3 - Smowy 4 - Icy	9 - Mrd 7 - Sand 8 - Sluth 9 - Oli	7 - Running Water 8 - Other ROAD CHARACTER	0 - None Used 1 - Shoulder Bet	EQUIPMENT 5 - Motoroycle Heimet 7 Bicycle Helmet	15 - Non-Conth d'Vehicle		
		DITION 4 - Dark - Lighted 5 - Dark - Not Lighted	1 - Straight And Level 2 - Straight On Grade 3 - Straight On Hillcrest 4 - Cane And Level 5 - Cane On Conte	2-Lap Belt 3-Lap and Shoulder Bolt 4. Child Safety Seat (Property 5-Child Safety Seat (Improper	(YUted)			
	MANNER OF (COLLISION	6 - Curve On Hillcrest DAMAGE TO VEHICLE	EXTRICATION (1 - Yes	2 - No 2 - No			
	2 - Head On 3 - Rear End 4 - Side swipe - Same Directio 5 - Side swipe - Opposite Dire 6 - Natt & Collision With a Mot	ction	1 - None 4 - Ordenitche 2 - Slight 5 - Fire Pretent 3 - Moderate	Alk Br D - No Ar Bagin This Seat 1 - Deployed Ar Bag 2 - Non-Deployed Ar Bag 3 - Deployed Side 4 - Deployed other Directions	S - Depixe & Multiple Directions & - Non-Deployed Frant - Non-Deployed Side 8 - Non-Deployed Side 8 - Non-Deployed Multiple Direction 9 - Non-Deployed Multiple Direction	· · · ·		